



THE EXECUTIVE PARK SUBAREA PLAN HEALTH IMPACT ASSESSMENT
An Application of the Healthy Development Measurement Tool (HDMT)



Draft for Public Review

San Francisco Department of Public Health

August 2007

For more information, please contact:

Megan Gaydos, Environmental and Occupational Health, SFDPH
415.252.3919 or megan.gaydos@sfdph.org

Lili Farhang, Program on Health, Equity, and Sustainability, SFDPH
415.252.3988 or lili.farhang@sfdph.org

This Report and the HDMT are available online at: www.theHDMT.org.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
	Page Number
Contributors	3
Acknowledgements	3
List of Figures	4
List of Tables	4
Glossary	4
Executive Summary	5
I. Introduction	
A) Overview	6
B) HDMT Application Context	9
C) Background on Executive Park	12
D) Overview of Executive Park Subarea Plan	13
E) Overview of Surrounding Areas	14
II. Application Methodology	
A) A Pilot Application	16
B) Scope	16
C) Geography	16
D) Inputs	17
E) Assessment	18
III. HDMT Application Findings and Recommendations	
III.A. HDMT Sustainability Spider Diagram	19
III.B. General Findings and Recommendations	21
III.C. Individual Indicator Analyses, Summarized by Objective	
ES. Environmental Stewardship	26
ST. Sustainable Transportation	33
PS. Public Safety	40
PI. Public Infrastructure/Access to Goods and Services	44
HH. Adequate and Healthy Housing	52
HE. Healthy Economy	57
CP. Community Participation	62
IV. Conclusion and Lessons Learned	63
V. Appendices	
A. Proposed Development Projects near Executive Park	66
B. Map of Census Tracts for Executive Park Pilot Project	67
C. Maps showing Census Tract, Zipcode, Supervisorial, and Planning District Boundaries in SF	68
D. Violence-prevention related land use interventions	69
E. Table of Recommendations, by Suggested Action and Proposed Location in Executive Park Plan	71
F. List of All Individual Indicators Analyzed	79
G. All of Individual Indicator Analyses	82

CONTRIBUTORS

San Francisco Department of Public Health Staff involved in the Executive Park Health Impact Assessment evaluation and report preparation includes:

- Megan Gaydos, MPH
- Lili Farhang, MPH
- Rajiv Bhatia, MD, MPH
- Cyndy Comerford, MS
- Michelle Kirian, MPH
- Shireen Malekafzali, MPH
- Jennifer McLaughlin, MS
- Megan Wier, MPH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following individuals contributed to the development and review of the Executive Park Health Impact Assessment. Their contributions and time are greatly appreciated:

- Eastern Neighborhoods Community Health Impact Assessment (ENCHIA) Community Council Members
- Peter Cohen
- Jennifer Dhillon
- Ray Minjares
- Carmen Violich
- Katherine Williams

Suggested Citation: *The Executive Park Subarea Plan Health Impact Assessment: An Application of the Healthy Development Measurement Tool (HDMT). Draft for Public Review. San Francisco Department of Public Health, Program on Health, Equity and Sustainability, August 2007.*

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Map of Executive Park Subarea
- Figure 2. SF Planning Districts and Executive Park
- Figure 3. Map of Surrounding Areas and Selected Development Projects
- Figure 4. HDMT Sustainability Spider Diagram
- Figure 5. Annual Average PM 2.5 Distribution near Executive Park
- Figure 6. Executive Park and the Pedestrian Environmental Quality Index (PEQI)
- Figure 7. Map of Census Tracts for Executive Park Area
- Figure 8. Maps showing Census Tract, Zipcode, Supervisorial, and Planning District Boundaries in SF
- Figure 9. Transportation Modes, Trip Predictors and Inter-relationships

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. Overview of Current Project Developers and Proposals in Executive Park
- Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Bayview Hunters Point, Visitacion Valley and San Francisco
- Table 3. HDMT Geographic References by Level and Units of Analysis
- Table 4. HDMT Sustainability Spider Diagram Table, Proportion of Achieved Development Targets
- Table 5. Proposed Development Projects Near Executive Park
- Table 6. Violence Prevention Related Land Use Interventions
- Table 7. Table of Recommendations, Organized by Suggested Action and Proposed Location in EP Plan

GLOSSARY

BVHP	Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EP	Executive Park Subarea
HDMT	Healthy Development Measurement Tool
The Plan	The Executive Park Subarea Plan
SF	San Francisco
SFDPH	San Francisco Department of Public Health
SFDCP	San Francisco Department of Planning
SFPD	San Francisco Police Department
VV	Visitacion Valley neighborhood
VVCIFF	Visitacion Valley Community Facilities Infrastructure Fee and Fund

APPENDIX F.

List of All HDMT Indicators Analyzed in Executive Park Report		
ELEMENT: ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP		Start Page
Objective ES.1 Decrease consumption of energy and natural resources		
ES.1.a	Residential per capita natural gas use	83
ES.1.c	Total residential electricity use (kWH) per capita	83
ES.1.d	Electricity use by industry type	83
ES.1.e	Gross per capita water use	87
ES.1.f	Annual per capita waste disposal	90
ES.1.g	Total renewable energy/electricity (in kWH) produced in San Francisco	83
Objective ES.2 Restore, preserve and protect healthy natural habitats		
ES.2.a	Miles of publicly accessible shoreline	93
ES.2.b	Parks and open space with significant natural area	97
ES.2.c	Acres of publicly accessible open space per capita	100
ES.2.d	Percentage of tree canopy coverage	105
ES.2.e	Proportion of impervious ground surfaces	107
ES.2.f	Proportion of buildings with green roofs	110
Objective ES.3 Promote food access and sustainable urban and rural agriculture		
ES.3.a	Proportion of households with 1/2 mile access to a CSA drop-off site	112
ES.3.b	Proportion of households with 1/2 mile access to a farmer's market	112
ES.3.c	Proportion of farmers' markets with 1/2 mile access to public transportation	112
ES.3.d	Location of farmers' markets with EBT card acceptance relative to food stamp recipients	112
ES.3.e	Proportion of households with 1/4 mile access to a community garden	115
ES.3.f	Commercial availability of composting and recycling pick up services	117
ES.3.g	Residential availability of composting and recycling pick up services	117
Objective ES.4 Promote productive reuse of previously contaminated sites		
	<i>No indicator data currently available for this objective</i>	
Objective ES.5 Preserve clean air quality		
ES.5.a	Proportion of households living within 500 feet of busy roadways	118
ES.5.b	Proportion of households living within 500 feet of stationary source air pollution	118
ES.5.c	Proportion of households living within 500 feet of designated truck routes	118
ELEMENT: SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORTATION		
Introduction to Sustainable Transportation		126
Objective ST.1 Decrease private motor vehicle trips and miles traveled		
ST.1.a	Proportion of households owning a car	129
ST.1.b	Average vehicle miles traveled by San Francisco residents per day	129
ST.1.c	Gross number of vehicle trips per San Francisco resident per day	129
ST.1.d	Number of motor vehicle collisions	129
Objective ST.2 Provide affordable, safe, and sustainable public transportation options		
ST.2.a	Proportion of commute trips made by public transit	135
ST.2.b	Proportion of households with 1/4 mile access to local bus or rail link	135
ST.2.c	Proportion of households with 1/2 mile access to regional bus, rail or ferry link	135
ST.2.d	Total transport expense relative to median income	135
Objective ST.3 Increase traffic safety and non-motorized forms of transport		
ST.3.a	Area score on Pedestrian Environmental Quality Index	141
ST.3.b	Ratio of miles of bicycle lanes and paths to miles of road	141
ST.3.c	Proportion of residential streets with 20 mph speed limit	141

ST.3.d	Proportion of commute trips made by walking, biking or other means	141
ST.3.e	Number of pedestrian collisions	141
ST.3.f	Number of bicycle collisions	141
ELEMENT: PUBLIC SAFETY		
Objective PS.1 Increase accessibility, beauty and cleanliness of public spaces		
PS.1.f	Street tree population	153
Objective PS.2 Maintain safe levels of community noise		
PS.2.a	Daytime and nighttime outdoor noise levels	155
Objective PS.3 Promote safe neighborhoods free of crime and violence		
PS.3.a	Density of take-out alcohol outlets	160
PS.3.b	Alcohol-related pedestrian injuries	160
PS.3.d	Location of fire stations	166
PS.3.e	Number of violent crimes	169
ELEMENT: PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE/ACCESS TO GOODS AND SERVICES		
Objective PI.1 Assure affordable and high quality child care for all neighborhoods		
PI.1.a	Difference between number of children eligible for childcare and number of childcare subsidies available	176
PI.1.b	Number of children 0-13 years and capacity of licensed child care (centers and family homes)	176
PI.1.d	Childcare as a percentage of family budget	176
Objective PI.2 Assure accessible and high quality educational facilities		
PI.2.a	Proportion of households within 1/2 mile access to public elementary school	180
PI.2.b	Ratio of public school population to citywide school-aged population	183
PI.2.c	Proportion of schools achieving an Academic Performance Index Base of 800 or more	183
PI.2.d	Proportion of students graduating from high school by school	183
PI.2.e	Proportion of children with 30 minute public transit access to public middle school and/or high school	187
PI.2.f	Number of public schools with onsite kitchen facilities	189
PI.2.g	Proportion of public schools with a school garden	189
Objective PI.3 Increase park, open space and recreation facilities		
PI.3.a	Proportion of population within 1/4 mile access of neighborhood or regional park	192
PI.3.b	Proportion of population within 1/4 mile of a recreational facility	196
PI.3.c	Proportion of public parks receiving a Park Evaluation Score of 95% or more	199
PI.3.d	Per capita public recreational and park funding	201
Objective PI.4 Assure spaces for libraries, performing arts, theatre, museums, concerts, festivals for personal and educational fulfillment		
PI.4.a	Proportion of population which lives within 1/4 mile of art or cultural facilities	203
PI.4.b	Percent of schools offering arts education	207
PI.4.c	Designated federal, state and city funding for the arts	209
PI.4.d	Proportion of population which lives within 1 mile of a public library	212
PI.4.e	Art/cultural facilities within 1/4 mile of a regional transit stop	215
Objective PI.5 Assure affordable and high quality public health facilities		
PI.5.a	Proportion of population covered by health insurance by type of insurance	217
PI.5.d	Number of hospital beds per 100,000 population	217
PI.5.c	Health facilities within 1/2 mile of a regional transit stop	217
PI.5.d	Distribution of health care facilities relative to population density	217
Objective PI.6 Assure access to daily goods and service needs, including financial services and healthy foods		
PI.6.c	Proportion of population within 1/2 mile from full-service grocery store/supermarket	223
ELEMENT: ADEQUATE AND HEALTHY HOUSING		
Objective HH.1 Preserve and construct a diversity of housing in proportion to demand with regards to size, affordability, tenure and location		

HH.1.a	Ratio of housing production to demand	225
HH.1.b	Proportion of families paying greater than 50% of their household income on their homes	225
HH.1.e	Housing wage as a percent of minimum wage	225
HH.1.g	Census tracts with median income sufficient to support 2-bedroom apartment at fair market rent (FMR)	225
HH.1.h	Proportion of households living in overcrowded conditions	225
HH.1.k	Underutilized development potential for residential dwelling units	225
Objective HH.2 Protect residents from involuntary displacement		
	<i>No indicator data currently available for this objective</i>	
Objective HH.3 Increase opportunities for home ownership		
HH.3.a	Median household income and housing tenure	225
HH.3.b	Housing purchasing capacity of the median income household	225
Objective HH.4 Increase spatial integration by ethnicity and economic class		
HH.4.a	Multi-group diversity index	236
ELEMENT: HEALTHY ECONOMY		
Objective HE.1 Increase high-quality employment opportunities for local residents		
HE.1.a	Jobs paying wages greater than or equal to the self-sufficiency wage	240
HE.1.b	Proportion of households living on income below the Bay Area self-sufficiency standard	240
HE.1.c	Proportion of jobs available in San Francisco filled by SF residents	244
HE.1.d	Land zoned for production, distribution and repair (PDR) uses	247
Objective HE.2 Increase jobs that provide healthy, safe and meaningful work		
HE.2.a	Jobs providing health insurance to employees	249
HE.2.b	Jobs providing sick days benefits to employees	252
HE.2.e	Occupational non-fatal injury rate by industry	255
Objective HE.3 Increase equality in income and wealth		
HE.3.a	Income inequality	258
Objective HE.4 Benefits and protects natural resources and environment		
HE.4.a	Businesses meeting or exceeding city green business standards	261
<p>As of June 2007, the following indicators did not yet have data available for analysis. Some of these indicators are briefly discussed in the objective summaries in the Executive Park Final Report, however none of the indicators below have a full indicator analysis page in this Appendix.</p> <p>ES.1.b Commercial natural gas use by industry type</p> <p>ES.4.a Acres of unutilized contaminated sites and Brownfields</p> <p>ES.4.b Number of environmental compliance actions taken against local businesses resulting in fine or penalty</p> <p>PS.1.a Proportion of sidewalk lengths with pedestrian scale lighting</p> <p>PS.1.b Ratio of public toilets to area of retail space in neighborhood business districts</p> <p>PS.1.c Ratio of public litter receptacles to area of retail space in neighborhood business districts</p> <p>PS.1.d Public plazas and parks exposed to high wind levels from buildings</p> <p>PS.1.e Public plaza or parks exposed to shadow from buildings</p> <p>PS.3.c Number of police officers per capita</p> <p>PI.1.c Proportion of licensed childcare facilities achieving environment quality rating scale of 5 or better</p> <p>PI.6.a Neighborhood completeness indicator for key public services</p> <p>PI.6.b Neighborhood completeness indicator for key retail services</p> <p>PI.6.d Proportion of retail food facilities accepting EBT/food stamp/WIC</p> <p>HH.1.i Number of per capita code violations for housing safety and habitability in the past year</p> <p>HH.1.j Proportion of vacant or underutilized public land preserved or created for affordable housing production</p> <p>HH.1.l Proportion of homelessness</p> <p>HH.2.a Proportion of SF housing stock that is deed restricted, public, inclusionary, rent-controlled & Section 8 accessible</p> <p>HH.2.b Ratio of annual residential neighborhood rent increase/decrease to citywide rent increase/decrease</p> <p>HE.1.e Proportion of estimated entry level jobs accessible to individuals with a GED / high school diploma</p> <p>HE.1.f Proportion of locally owned businesses</p> <p>HE.2.c Jobs providing retirements benefits to employees</p> <p>HE.2.d Proportion of unemployed served annually by job training programs</p> <p>HE.3.b Unemployment by race</p> <p>HE.4.b Proportion of jobs accessible within 1/2 mile of regional transit link</p> <p>Objective CP.1 Assure equitable and democratic participation throughout the planning process</p>		